

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/807,696	04/17/2001	Tsuyoshi Okada	50352-019	2515
20277	7590 06/15/2004		EXAMINER	
MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP 600 13TH STREET, N.W.			GRIFFIN, WALTER DEAN	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3096			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1764	

DATE MAILED: 06/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1 4 %	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/807,696	OKADA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Walter D. Griffin	1764				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ib(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 Ag</u>	oril 2004.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) 1-4,6-9,11-17 and 20 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 5,10,18 and 19 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
	_					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner		Svaminar				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti		, ,				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		` '				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	At Distanting Commence	(DTO 412)				
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔛 Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) U Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The objection to the specification for lacking an abstract is withdrawn in view of the submission of the abstract. Also, the claim objections and claim rejections under 35 USC 112 as described in the office action mailed on November 6, 2003 are withdrawn in view of the amendment filed on April 5, 2004. The rejections under 35 USC 103 of claims 1-17 are also withdrawn because none of the applied prior art discloses or suggests a process as claimed that results in the production of a gas turbine fuel oil with the claimed characteristics.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 5 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 5 and 10 are indefinite because the expression "said fourth hydrotreating step" in line 6 of claim 5 lacks proper antecedent basis. The correct expression should apparently be "said third hydrotreating step".

Application/Control Number: 09/807,696

Art Unit: 1764

Page 3

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 18 is rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by JP 06207179A.

The JP 06207179A reference discloses a gas turbine fuel oil that has a sulfur concentration of 470 ppm, contains no detectable alkaline metal, alkaline earth metal, vanadium, or lead, and has a viscosity or 0.3 cSt at 100°C. See the English language abstract and applicant's discussion of this reference on page 26 of the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 06207179A in view of admitted prior art.

As discussed above, the JP 06207179A reference does not explicitly disclose the power generation method in either the abstract or applicant's discussion on page 26.

Applicant admits on pages 1 and 2 of the specification that the power generation steps of claim 19 are known.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used the fuel oil of JP 06207179A in the known power generation steps because the fuel oils of the reference are typically used in power generation. Therefore, the fuel oil of JP 06207179A would be expected to be an effective fuel for generating power.

Response to Arguments

The argument that the JP 06207179A reference does not disclose a fuel oil that does not have detectable alkaline metal, lead, vanadium, and calcium or does not have a viscosity as claimed is not persuasive. The discussion on page 26 of the specification indicates that the fuel oil prepared by the method of the Japanese reference has the claimed characteristics.

Since the fuel oil disclosed by the Japanese reference appears to have the claimed characteristics and meets gas turbine standards, the examiner maintains that one of ordinary skill in the art would use the fuel in the known prior art power generation steps of claim 19.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-4, 6-9, 11-17, and 20 are allowed.

Claims 5 and 10 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

None of the cited prior art discloses or suggests a process as claimed that results in the production of a gas turbine fuel oil with the claimed characteristics.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Walter D. Griffin whose telephone number is (571) 272-1447. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:30 to 4:00 with alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on (571) 272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Walter D. Griffin Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1764

WG June 9, 2004